

# **EXHIBIT A**

1 Page 1

2 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
4 SHERMAN DIVISION

5 THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al., )  
6 VS. ) CIVIL NO.  
7 GOOGLE, LLC, ) 4:20-cv-957-SDJ

8 HEARING  
9 JULY 10, 2024  
10 (Via Zoom Videoconference)

11  
12 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT at 10:00 a.m., on  
13 Wednesday, the 10th day of July 2024, the  
14 above-entitled matter came on for hearing via Zoom,  
15 before Special Master David Moran, and the following  
16 proceedings were reported by Janalyn Elkins, Certified  
17 Shorthand Reporter.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 Job No. CS6794671

Page 33

1 already found, it's not about whether they told us.  
2 It's whether they complied. So, A, they didn't tell us.  
3 In fact, it was very misleading what they told us.

4                   But, B, sort of irrelevant, you either did  
5 or did not comply with the preservation obligation. And  
6 as you see, they didn't. Google's second argument is,  
7 oh, it's the States' fault, it's too late. Well, we've  
8 been bringing this up as shown by Google's own exhibits.  
9 That's why I didn't file a reply. I can do all this  
10 from their own exhibits. We said verify in 2020 that  
11 you're keeping these.

12                   And we have, Your Honor, and I can file a  
13 supplement if you want, we have been seeking discovery  
14 of the Chats and chat destructions going back to the  
15 MDL. Our request, first set of requests for production  
16 were to find out if they deleted or failed to preserve  
17 informal communications in Chats.

18                   SPECIAL MASTER: What was their -- what was  
19 their -- Mr. Collier, what's the date and your  
20 Request 301 I see with my -- with my old eyes.

21                   MR. COLLIER: 20 -- February -- yeah,  
22 February 2023, I believe. And if you'll recall, Your  
23 Honor, it's because -- and Mr. DeRose can put more gloss  
24 on this if you want, there were pretrial, you know,  
25 motions to dismiss and things before we could get to

Page 34

1 discovery. And as you'll probably further recall, we  
2 even had DTPA discovery state all the way until we got  
3 here. But the very first opportunity we had to send a  
4 request for production, we sent it. So we've been  
5 raising this since 2020.

6 And then when we came here, Your Honor, in  
7 our very first hearing, and we've given you the  
8 transcript reference before Judge Jordan, Mr. Malear --  
9 Mr. Lanear, when Judge Jordan said what else, you know,  
10 he's thinking about whether we need a Special Master or  
11 not. What else is percolating, he said, hey, we've got  
12 this issue, we're still trying to work out about the  
13 deleting of chat dialogues that were used for  
14 substantive discussions within Google.

15 We've had several rounds of discovery  
16 requests, we've had protective orders, we've had  
17 objections to your order. We've been fighting this  
18 fight for months and months, until we finally got this  
19 30(b)(6) deposition and then we immediately entered into  
20 a series of meet and confers over the four items that  
21 I'm asking you for today. And then we immediately  
22 filed. We're not too late, we've been chasing this  
23 issue since 2020.

24 Next slide.

25 And then the -- finally the -- my favorite,

1 tell them and what did they tell them about turning  
2 history on or off?

3 And as Your Honor has pretty correctly  
4 recognized, talk about sword and shield, they come in at  
5 the top of page 3 and try to tell the court with no  
6 footnote, of course, no citation, what those litigation  
7 holds said. They didn't produce them. They didn't  
8 produce them in camera to you and they're not willing to  
9 give them to us. It's just a big old trust me bro, as  
10 my teenagers would say.

11 I don't think we get to operate that way  
12 when we've already exposed that there's a failure to  
13 retain.

14 And, Mr. McCallum, and I'll pause if he  
15 wants to correct me, will not tell you that relevant  
16 Chats were not deleted. So now I want to figure out --

17 MR. MCCALLUM: Is that question directed to  
18 me?

19 | MR. COLLIER: Now, I want to figure out --

20 SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Collier, just address  
21 the Court or Special Master.

22 MR. COLLIER: But what is the scope of  
23 that? What is the scope of that?

Page 85

1 and off. It exists. It's not burdensome to produce.  
2 It's been produced. All the burden is over.

3 The interrogatory answer is, who was put on  
4 a litigation hold and when? Just tell us so we can  
5 figure out of this two-part test as to what was retained  
6 as to each custodian when their stuff was deleted and  
7 when not.

8 The litigation hold, we've discussed.  
9 They're affirmatively using it.

10 And then finally, the transcript of  
11 Google's corporate representative. They say, well, you  
12 know, it was the same person. I didn't choose that  
13 person, Your Honor. That was the corporate  
14 representative chosen by Google. And they wanted me to  
15 take these depositions at the same time to accommodate  
16 his schedule and we flew to the west coast to do it. So  
17 there was coordination on these dates. It wasn't just  
18 out of all the gin joints, we did it on separate days.

19 And they had a corporate representative  
20 testify to things under oath, and if he said something  
21 different the next day, the court is entitled to know  
22 that as we sort through this issue. And then he faults  
23 me for not telling you what's different. I can't tell  
24 you what's different, because they're saying I can't  
25 talk about it.